# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460



OPP OFFICIAL RECORD OPP OFFICIAL TILE OF OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES HEALTH EFFECTS DIVISION OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES SCIENTIFIC DATA REVIEWS AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES **EPA SERIES 361** 

## **MEMORANDUM**

Date:

May 27, 2009

**SUBJECT:** Oxamyl: Revised Occupational and Residential Exposure Assessment

**PC Code:** 103801

Decision No.: 372048

Petition No.: 6F7136

Risk Assessment Type: Single Chemical

TXR No.: NA

**MRID No.:** 446869-01, 446869-02 and

447048-01

DP Barcode: D365459

Registration No.: 352-372, 352-400, and 352-532

Regulatory Action: Section 3

al Nielsen 5/28/09

**Case No.: 253** 

CAS No.: 23135-22-0

**40 CFR:** 180.303

FROM:

Alan Nielsen, Senior Scientist

Risk Assessment Branch VI Health Effects Division (7509P)

THROUGH: Charles Smith, Environmental Scientist

Risk Assessment Branch VI Health Effects Division (7509P)

TO:

Thomas Harris, Product Manager

Registration Division (7505P)

The registrant, E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company has requested a new end use on sugarbeets for the insecticide/nematicide oxamyl. Based on new oxamyl toxicological data, the Health Effects Division (HED) has reassessed all uses of oxamyl as well as the new requested sugarbeet use. This memorandum only addresses risk from occupational exposure as there are currently no residential uses for oxamyl.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2.0 HAZARD CHARACTERIZATION	4
2.1 ACUTE TOXICOLOGY CATEGORIES	
3.0 PROPOSED USE PATTERNS	
<ul> <li>3.1 OCCUPATIONAL-USE PRODUCTS.</li> <li>3.2 TYPE OF PESTICIDE/TARGETED PEST.</li> <li>3.3 FORMULATION TYPES AND PERCENT ACTIVE INGREDIENT</li> <li>3.4 REGISTERED USE SITES.</li> </ul>	6 7
4.0 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE/RISK PATHWAY	11
4.1 Handler Exposures	11
4.1.3 Summary of Risk Concerns for Occupational Handlers	16
4.1.3.2 Inhalation Risks	32
4.2.1 Postapplication Assumptions and Calculations	
5.0 RESIDENTIAL (NON-OCCUPATIONAL) EXPOSURE/RISK PATHWAY .	43

#### 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Oxamyl, [Methyl N', N'-dimethyl-N-[(methylcarbamoyl)oxy]-1-thio-oxamimidate], is an insecticide/nematicide that is formulated as a soluble concentrate liquid (24% and 42% active ingredient) and as a solid/technical (42% active ingredient). Oxamyl is applied with the following equipment: aerial equipment, chemigation, groundboom sprayer, airblast sprayer, spotgun (low-pressure handwand) applicator, handgun sprayer, and shank soil injection. Application rates for oxamyl range from 0.25 to 4 lb ai/acre.

Oxamyl is a restricted use pesticide. At this time, products containing oxamyl are intended for occupational use only. HED has determined that there are potential exposures to mixers, loaders, applicators, and other handlers during usual use-patterns associated with oxamyl. Based on the use patterns, several major exposure scenarios were identified.

HED's levels of concern for occupational handlers and postapplication workers are 100 for dermal risk and 30 inhalation risk. A common toxicological endpoint does not exist for the dermal and inhalation routes. Therefore, the Margins of Exposure (MOEs) for dermal and inhalation cannot be combined for an aggregate occupational assessment. No chronic scenarios were identified. The short- and intermediate-term dermal risks are identical since the same endpoint was chosen for short- and intermediate-term dermal assessments. The short- and intermediate-term inhalation risks are also identical since the same endpoint was chosen for short- and intermediate-term inhalation assessments.

Calculations of handler dermal risk indicate that the risks do not exceed HED's level of concern (i.e., MOE = 100) at some level of risk mitigation for all of the short- and intermediate-term occupational handler exposure scenarios. Calculations of handler inhalation risk indicate that the risks do not exceed HED's level of concern (i.e., MOE = 30) at baseline (no respirator) for all, except one, of the short- and intermediate-term occupational handler exposure scenarios. For mixing/loading to support aerial applications to cotton in California and Arizona at the 1.0 lb ai/day application rate, a quarter-face, dust/mist filtering respirator with a protection factor of 5 is needed to mitigate short- and intermediate-term inhalation risks.

HED has determined that there are potential exposures to occupational postapplication workers during usual use-patterns associated with oxamyl. Three dislodgeable foliar residue (DFR) studies were submitted in support of the reregistration of oxamyl. The DFR studies were done on three crops: cucumbers, tomatoes, and citrus fruits. To represent an arid and a non-arid climate, two sites were chosen for each crop -- one in California and another one in Florida or Georgia. A soil residue dissipation study also was conducted at the California site under tomato plants. The results of the postapplication risk assessment indicate that for many crops, risks remain a concern for several days following application.

This risk assessment relies in part on data from studies in which adult human subjects were intentionally exposed to a pesticide or other chemical. These studies, which comprise the Pesticide Handlers Exposure Database (PHED), the Outdoor Residential Exposures Task Force

(ORETF), and the Agricultural Reentry Task Force (ARTF) have been determined to require a review of their ethical conduct and have received that review.

## 2.0 HAZARD CHARACTERIZATION

## 2.1 Acute Toxicology Categories

Table 1 presents the acute toxicity categories for oxamyl.

Table 1: Acute Toxicity Categories of Oxamyl						
Study Type	Toxicity Category (technical)					
Acute Oral Toxicity	I					
Acute Dermal Toxicity	IV					
Acute Inhalation Toxicity	II					
Primary Eye Irritation	III					
Primary Dermal Irritation	IV					
Dermal Sensitization	Not a skin sensitizer					

## 2.2 Toxicological Endpoints of Concern

Oxamyl: Updated Endpoint Selection for Single Chemical Risk Assessment, dated April 29, 2009, indicates that there are toxicological endpoints of concern for oxamyl. Dermal and inhalation points of departure have been identified for short-term (1 to 7 days) and intermediate-term (one week to several months) exposures. These endpoints are listed in Table 2.

	Table 2. Summary of 3 for Use in	•	se and Endpoints Risk Assessment	for Oxamyl
Exposure Scenario	Point of Departure (mg/kg/day)	Uncertainty/ FQPA Safety Factors	RfD, PAD, LOC for Risk Assessment	Study and Toxicological Effects
Acute Dietary All populations	0.069 mg/kg	UF <sub>A</sub> =1x UF <sub>H</sub> =10x FQPA SF=3.48x (brain)	aRfD=0.0069 aPAD= 0.0020 (½ -life for adults and children 2.5 hours)	Human Study (MRID 44912301) BMD <sub>10</sub> = 0.083 mg/kg BMDL <sub>10</sub> = 0.069 mg/kg, based on RBC AChE inhibition
Chronic Dietary	Due to the rapid recovery of concern and therefore a chro	•	-	•
Incidental Oral (All durations)	Based on the current oxamy	yl uses, an incidental	oral endpoint is not re	equired.
Dermal	17.05 mg/kg (brain)	UF <sub>A</sub> =10x UF <sub>H</sub> =10x FQPA SF=1x (infants/children)	MOE = 100 (adult)	21-day dermal rabbit studies (40827601, 44751201) Brain BMD <sub>10</sub> is 34.91 mg/kg Brain BMDL <sub>10</sub> is 17.05 mg/kg
Dermal Long-Term (>6 mos)	Due to the rapid recovery of concern and therefore a long			
Inhalation	RBC Occupational: HEC = 2.25 mg/m³ or 0.39 mg/kg  RBC Non-Occupational: HEC= 0.75 mg/m³ or 0.13 mg/kg	UF <sub>A</sub> =3x UF <sub>H</sub> =10x FQPA SF= 1x	MOE = 30 (adult, occupational)	Acute inhalation rat (45155801) RBC BMD $_{10}$ = 0.002 mg/L RBC BMDL $_{10}$ = 0.0018 mg/L, based on RBC AChE inhibition in both sexes.
Inhalation Long-Term (>6 mos)	Due to the rapid recovery of concern and therefore a long			
Cancer (oral, dermal, inhalation)	Determined to be "not likely 8/31/1999)	y" a human carcinogo	en (Memorandum: HL	ARC report, Geruva Reddy,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Explanation of Abbreviations: UF = uncertainty factor. UF<sub>A</sub> = extrapolation from animal to human (interspecies). UF<sub>H</sub> = potential variation in sensitivity among members of the human population (intraspecies). FQPA SF = FQPA Safety Factor. aPAD = population adjusted dose. RfD = reference dose. MOE = margin of exposure. LOC = level of concern. N/A = not applicable. HEC = Human Equivalent Concentration. RDDR = regional deposited dose ratio.

#### **Cancer Determination**

Oxamyl was determined to be "not likely" a human carcinogen (Memorandum: HIARC report, Geruva Reddy, 8/31/1999).

#### **FQPA Safety Factor**

The FQPA factor of 3.48x is appropriate for infant's/children's subpopulations when relying on adult rat data, depending on the AChE compartment. The FQPA factor is not appropriate for pregnant females since the developmental studies did not indicate susceptibility. Therefore, for the single chemical risk assessment for oxamyl, the FQPA factor may be applied to the oral, dermal, and inhalation assessments for those scenarios only involving children.

#### **Levels of Concern**

The levels of concern for occupational handlers and postapplication workers are 100 for dermal risk and 30 for inhalation risk.

#### **Combined Risks**

A common toxicological endpoint does not exist for the dermal and inhalation routes. Therefore, the Margins of Exposure (MOEs) for dermal and inhalation cannot be combined for an aggregate occupational assessment.

#### 3.0 PROPOSED USE PATTERNS

## 3.1 Occupational-Use Products

Oxamyl [Methyl N', N'-dimethyl-N-[(methylcarbamoyl)oxy]-1-thio-oxamimidate] is an insecticide/nematicide that is registered for use on terrestrial food crops and terrestrial food and feed crops.

#### 3.2 Type of Pesticide/Targeted Pest

Oxamyl is an insecticide and nematicide used only in commercial settings and includes (but, is not limited to) the following:

• Insects: Pear Rust Mite, Citrus Rust Mite, European Rust Mite, McDaniel Spider Mite, Two spotted Spider Mite, Leafminer, Western Flower Thrips, Citrus Thrips, Onion Thrips, Flea Beetles, Colorado Potato Beetle, Pepper Weevil, Boll Weevil, Banana Root Borer, Carrot Weevil, Seperpentine Leafminer Complex, Vegetable Leafminer, Lygus Bugs, Tarnished Plant Bug, Cotton Fleahopper, Whiteflies, Cotton Aphid, Apple Aphid, Rosy Apple Aphid, Green Peach Aphid, Potato Leafhopper, White Apple Leafhopper, Pink Bollworm, Spotted Tentiform Leafminer, and Cotton Leaf Perforator;

- Nematodes: Stubby-root Nematode, Mint Nematode, Sting Nematode, Ring Nematode, Spiral Nematode, Lance Nematode, Reniform Nematode, Pin Nematode, Lesion Nematode, Root-Lesion Nematode, Burrowing Nematode, Bulb Nematode, Stem Nematode, Stunt Nematode, Citrus Nematode, Root-Knot Nematode, and Cyst Nematode;
- Plant Regulator (Fruit Thinning)

## 3.3 Formulation Types and Percent Active Ingredient

Oxamyl is formulated as a soluble concentrate/liquid (24% and 42% active ingredient) and as a solid/technical (42% active ingredient).

#### 3.4 Registered Use Sites

Table 3 lists the occupational use sites, the maximum application rates, and the application equipment for each of the registered uses of oxamyl. Current oxamyl labels state that oxamyl can only be used on commercial and farm plantings. Oxamyl is applied with the following equipment: aerial equipment, chemigation, groundboom sprayer, airblast sprayer, spotgun (low-pressure handwand) applicator, handgun sprayer, and shank soil injection. Application rates for oxamyl range from 0.25 to 4 lb ai/acre. Oxamyl can be applied anywhere from 1 to 12 times a year depending on the crop. Most crops have a maximum seasonal application rate of 6 times or less. Current end-use product labels limit aerial applications to a maximum of 1 pound active ingredient per acre and ground applications to foliage are limited to 2 pounds active ingredient per acre. Soil-directed applications are a maximum of 4 pounds active ingredient per acre. Maximum application rates vary by crop, by method of application, by target of application (soil versus foliage) and by state or region.

There are no registered uses of oxamyl at residential sites. Oxamyl is a restricted use pesticide. At this time, products containing oxamyl are intended for occupational use only. Current oxamyl labels state that oxamyl can only be used in commercial and farm plantings. Oxamyl is not for use in home plantings, nor on any commercial crop that is turned into a "upick" or "pick your own" or similar operation.

	Table 3:	Occupation	al Uses of Oxamyl	
Crop	Geographic Location	Target	Application Equipment	Maximum Application Rate (lb ai/A)
	Entire U.S.	Foliage	airblast, handgun, chemigation	2
Apples	WA	Foliage	aerial	1
	Thinning - NJ, PA, VA, WV	Foliage	airblast, handgun, chemigation	1
Bananas,	Puerto Rico	Foliage	low pressure handwand	0.005 lb ai/plant
Plantains	rueno kico	Soil	low pressure handwand	0.003 to all plant
		Foliage	groundboom, chemigation	1
Carrot	U.S., except CA	Soil	groundboom	4
		5011	chemigation	2
	47 C4	Foliage	aerial	0.5
	AZ, CA	Foliage	groundboom, chemigation	0.5
		Foliage	aerial	0.5
Celery	FL	Foliage	groundboom, chemigation	2
·		Soil	groundboom	4
	D. CIV. M. TV	Foliage	groundboom, chemigation	2
	PA, OH, MI, TX	Soil	groundboom	4
		Foliage	aerial, airblast, handgun	1
Citrus	Entire U.S.	Foliage		_
		Soil	chemigation	2
Clover Grown for Seed	CA SLN (CA060028)	Foliage	Aerial, groundboom	1
0-4-	AZ and CA	Foliage	aerial, groundboom, chemigation,	1
Cotton	U.S., except AZ and CA	Foliage	aerial, groundboom, chemigation,	0.5
Cucurbits:	West of Rockies	Foliage	groundboom, chemigation	1
Cucumber, Cantaloupe,		Foliage	aerial, groundboom, chemigation	1
Honeydew Melon, Watermelon, Squash, Pumpkin	East of Rockies	Soil	groundboom	4
Doomland	Entire U.S.	Foliage	groundboom, chemigation	1
Eggplant	U.S., except CA	Soil	groundboom, chemigation	2
	OR,	Foliage	groundboom, chemigation	2
	& NY SLN	Foliage	aerial	1
	(NY990002)	Soil	groundboom	4
Garlic	CA (Modoc & Siskiyou counties)	Foliage	aerial, groundboom, chemigation	1
	WA SLN (WA000018)	Foliage & Soil	groundboom, chemigation	1
	CA	Foliage Soil	groundboom, chemigation	2
Cin D	TTT	Foliage	groundboom	1
Ginger Root	HI	Soil	groundboom	4

:	Table 3:	Occupation	al Uses of Oxamyl	
Crop	p Geographic Location		Application Equipment	Maximum Application Rate (lb ai/A)
Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry,	Entire U.S.	Foliage	aerial, groundboom, airblast, handgun, chemigation	1
citrus, peach, pear)		Soil	groundboom, airblast, handgun	4
	OR, ID, WA,	Foliage	aerial	1
	& NY SLN	Foliage	groundboom, chemigation	2
	(NY990002)	Soil	groundboom	4
	CA Soil groundboom Foliage groundboom, chemig			2
	CA	Foliage	groundboom, chemigation	2
Onions (dry bulb only)	CA (Modoc & Siskiyou counties) & CO SLN (CO010005) & NV SLN (NY990002) & UT SLN (UT990004)	Foliage	aerial, groundboom, chemigation	1
		Foliage	aerial	0.5
	MI, TX	Foliage	groundboom, chemigation	2
		Soil	groundboom	4
	WI SLN	Foliage	aerial, groundboom	0.5
	(WI070005)	Soil	Groundboom	4
	NM	Foliage	aerial, groundboom, chemigation	0.5
D	II S. avecant CA	Foliage	aerial, groundboom, chemigation	0.5
Peanuts	U.S., except CA	Soil	aerial, groundboom, chemigation	0.5
Pears	U.S., except CA	Foliage	airblast, handgun, chemigation	2
Peppermint and	ID, MI, MT, OR,	Falings	groundboom, chemigation	2
Spearmint	WA, WI	Foliage	aerial	1
Peppers (Bell and	Entire U.S.	Foliage	aerial, groundboom, chemigation	1
Non-Bell)		Soil	groundboom, chemigation	1
Pineapple	U.S., except CA	Foliage	groundboom, chemigation	2
1 meappie	o.s., except CA	Soil	groundboom, chemigation	2

	Table 3: Occupational Uses of Oxamyl									
Crop	Geographic Location	Target	Application Equipment	Maximum Application Rate (lb ai/A)						
	Entire U.S.	Foliage	aerial, groundboom, chemigation	1						
Potatoes	MD SLN (MD080003) & NY SLN (NY080001)	Foliage & Soil	groundboom, chemigation	2						
	PA SLN	Foliage	groundboom, chemigation	1						
	(PA070002)	Soil	groundboom	2						
	U.S., except Northeast, Mid- Atlantic States	Soil	groundboom	4						
Caran Deet	Entire U.S.	Foliage	groundboom	1						
Sugar Beet	Entire U.S.	Soil	groundboom	2						
Sweet Potatoes	U.S., except CA	Soil	groundboom	4						
Tobacco	Entire U.S.	Soil	groundboom, chemigation	2						
Tomatass	Entire U.S.	Foliage	aerial, groundboom, chemigation	1						
Tomatoes		Soil	chemigation	2						
	CA only	Soil	groundboom	1.25						
Yams	Puerto Rico	Soil	groundboom, chemigation	0.5						

## 4.0 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE/RISK PATHWAY

#### 4.1 Handler Exposures

HED has determined that there are potential exposures to mixers, loaders, applicators, and other handlers during usual use patterns associated with oxamyl. Based on the use patterns of oxamyl, several major exposure scenarios were identified for oxamyl: (1a) mixing/loading liquids for aerial application; (1b) mixing/loading liquids for chemigation application; (1c) mixing/loading liquids for groundboom application; (1d) mixing/loading liquids for airblast application; (2) applying liquids with aerial equipment; (3) applying liquids with a groundboom sprayer; (4) applying liquids with an airblast sprayer; (5) flagging for liquid aerial applications; (6) mixing/loading/applying with spotgun (low-pressure handwand) equipment; and (7) mixing/loading/applying with handgun equipment.

## 4.1.1 Handler Assumptions

Chemical-specific data for assessing human exposures during pesticide handling activities were not submitted to the Agency in support of the reregistration of oxamyl. It is the policy of the HED to use data from the Pesticide Handlers Exposure Database (PHED) Version 1.1 and data from the Outdoor Residential Exposure Task Force (ORETF) to assess handler exposures for regulatory actions when chemical-specific monitoring data are not available.

PHED: The PHED Task Force is comprised of representatives from the U.S. EPA, Health Canada, the California Department of Pesticide regulation, and member companies of the American Crop Protection Association. PHED is a software system consisting of two parts: a database of measured exposure values for workers involved in the handling of pesticides under actual field conditions and a set of computer algorithms used to subset and statistically summarize the selected data. Currently, the database contains values for over 1,700 monitored individuals (i.e., replicates).

Users select criteria to subset the PHED database to reflect the exposure scenario being evaluated. The subsetting algorithms in PHED are based on the central assumption that the magnitude of handler exposures to pesticides are primarily a function of activity (e.g., mixing/loading, applying), formulation type (e.g., wettable powders, granulars), application method (e.g., aerial, groundboom), and clothing scenarios (e.g., gloves, double layer clothing).

Once the data for a given exposure scenario have been selected, the data are normalized (i.e., divided by) by the amount of pesticide handled resulting in standard unit exposures (milligrams of exposure per pound of active ingredient handled). Following normalization, the data are statistically summarized. The distribution of exposure values for each body part (e.g., chest upper arm) is categorized as normal, lognormal, or "other" (i.e., neither normal nor lognormal). A central tendency value is then selected from the distribution of the exposure values for each body part. These values are the arithmetic mean for normal distributions, the geometric mean for lognormal distributions, and the median for all "other" distributions. Once

selected, the central tendency values for each body part are composited into a "best fit" exposure value representing the entire body.

The unit exposure values calculated by PHED generally range from the geometric mean to the median of the selected data set. While data from PHED provide the best available information on handler exposures, it should be noted that some aspects of the included studies (e.g., duration, acres treated, pounds of active ingredient handled) may not accurately represent labeled uses in all cases. HED has developed a series of tables of standard unit exposure values for many occupational scenarios that can be utilized to ensure consistency in exposure assessments (PHED Surrogate Exposure Guide, August 1998).

ORETF Handler Studies (MRID 449722-01): A report was submitted by the ORETF (Outdoor Residential Exposure Task Force) that presented data in which the application of various products used on turf by homeowners and lawncare operators (LCOs) was monitored. All of the data submitted in this report were completed in a series of studies.

OMA002: LCO Liquid Applications with a Low Pressure Handgun (MRID 449722-01): A mixer/loader/applicator study was performed by the Outdoor Residential Exposure Task Force (ORETF) using Dacthal as a surrogate compound to determine "generic" exposures to individuals applying a pesticide to turf with a low-pressure "nozzle gun" or "handgun" sprayer. Dermal and inhalation exposures were estimated using whole-body passive dosimeters and breathing-zone air samples on OVS tubes. Inhalation exposure was calculated using an assumed respiratory rate of 17 liters per minute for light work (NAFTA, 1999), the actual sampling time for each individual, and the pump flow rate. All results were normalized for pounds active ingredient handled.

A total of 90 replicates were monitored using 17 different subjects. Four different formulations of daethal [75% wettable powder (packaged in 4 and 24 pound bags), 75% wettable powder in water soluble bags (3 pound bag), 75% water dispersible granules (2 pound bag) and 55% liquid flowable (2.5 gallon container)] were applied by five different LCOs to actual residential lawns at each site in three different locations (Ohio, Maryland, and Georgia) for a total of fifteen replicates per formulation. An additional ten replicates at each site were monitored while they performed spray application only using the 75 percent wettable powder formulation. A target application rate of 2 pounds active ingredient was used for all replicates (actual rate achieved was about 2.2 pounds active ingredient per acre). Each replicate treated a varying number of actual client lawns to attain a representative target of 2.5 acres (1 hectare) of turf. The exposure periods averaged five hours twenty-one minutes, five hours thirty-nine minutes, and six hours twenty-four minutes, in Ohio, Maryland and Georgia, respectively. Average time spent spraying at all sites was about two hours. All mixing, loading, application, adjusting, calibrating, and spill clean up procedures were monitored, except for typical end-ofday clean-up activities, e.g. rinsing of spray tank, etc. Dermal exposure was measured using inner and outer whole body dosimeters, hand washes, face/neck washes, and personal air monitoring devices. All test subjects wore one-piece, 100 percent cotton inner dosimeters beneath 100 percent cotton long-sleeved shirt and long pants, rubber boots and nitrile gloves.

Gloves are typically worn by most LCOs, and required by many pesticide labels for mixing and loading.

Overall, residues were highest on the upper and lower leg portions of the dosimeters. In general, concurrent lab spikes produced mean recoveries in the range of 78-120 percent, with the exception of OVS sorbent tube sections which produced mean recoveries as low as 65.8 percent. Adjustment for recoveries from field fortifications were performed on each dosimeter section or sample matrix for each study participant, using the mean recovery for the closest field spike level for each matrix and correcting the value to 100 percent. The unit exposure values are presented below in Table 4. [Note the data were found to be lognormally distributed. As a result, all exposure values are geometric means.]

Table 4: Unit Exposure Values Obtaine Handgun from ORETF Handgun Studi			tions with a L	ow Pressure
Application Method <sup>4</sup>	Total Derm Single Layer, No Gloves	al Unit Exposur Single Layer, Gloves	e <sup>1</sup> (mg/lb/ai) Double Layer <sup>3</sup> , Gloves	Inhalation Unit Exposure <sup>1,2</sup> (µg/lb-ai)
LCO Handgun Spray Mixer/Loader/Applicator Liquid Flowable	No Data	0.45	0.245	1.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unit exposure values reported are geometric means.

ORETF Handler Studies (MRID 444598-01): A report was submitted by the ORETF (Outdoor Residential Exposure Task Force) that presented data in which the application of various products used on vegetable gardens by homeowners was monitored. All of the data submitted in this report were completed in a series of studies.

*OMA006:* Homeowner Liquid Application to Garden with a Low Pressure Handwand (MRID 444598-01): The study was designed to quantify dermal and inhalation exposure of homeowners as they mixed, loaded and applied liquid formulations of a carbaryl end-use product to home garden vegetables. A low pressure handwand) was used to apply Sevin Liquid® Brand Carbaryl Insecticide. Twenty replicates were conducted with gloves and 20 replicates were conducted without gloves. Inhalation exposure was monitored using personal air samplers (average flow rate of 1.5 liter/minute) and dermal exposure was monitored by using inner and outer dosimeters, facial/neck wipes, and hand washes. The overall mean field fortification recovery of each matrix ranged from  $77.6 \pm 13.6\%$  (outer dosimeters) to  $98.4 \pm 3.8\%$  (OVS tubes). Laboratory fortified recovery samples were analyzed with each set of samples analyzed on a particular day; however, the results of the laboratory recoveries were not provided in the Study Report. Unit exposures were calculated for short pants/short sleeves, long pants/short sleeves, and long pants/long sleeves scenarios. The results for the low pressure handwand are summarized in Table 5 below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Air concentration (mg/m<sup>3</sup>/lb ai) calculated using NAFTA '99 standard breathing rate of 17 lpm (1 m<sup>3</sup>/hr).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Exposure calculated using OPP/HED 50% protection factor (PF) for cotton coveralls on torso, arms, and legs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> All commercial handlers were long pants, long-sleeved shirt, nitrile gloves and shoes.

Table 5. Unit Exposure Values f Low Pressure Handward Obtain			
Scenario Monitored	Total Dermal U (mg/ll	Inhalation Unit Exposure! (µg/lb ai)	
	Gloves	No Respirator	
Homeowner Liquid Applications with a Low Pressure Handwand Sprayer	0.33	15	2.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unit exposure values reported are geometric means.

## The following general assumptions are made:

- Average body weight of an adult handler is 70 kg.
- Average work day interval is 8 hours which represents a typical day.
- Calculations of handler scenarios are completed using the maximum application rates on the oxamyl labels.
- PHED Version 1.1 or ORETF surrogate data were used for to estimate exposures for all scenarios. NOTE: According to information provided by DuPont, the Vydate L spotgun applicator appears to have a similar application technique as a low pressure handwand and therefore exposure from the spotgun is assumed to be similar to the low pressure handwand. Thus, PHED and ORETF unit exposure data from the use of a low pressure handwand was used as surrogate data for the spotgun applicator.
- Due to a lack of scenario-specific data, HED calculated unit exposure values using generic data from the Pesticide Handler Exposure Database (PHED) and the Outdoor Residential Exposure Task Force database (ORETF). When necessary, protection factors are applied to represent various risk mitigation options (i.e., the use of respirator and double-layer body protection).
- Exposures were estimated for handlers using:
  - > 1200 acres per day for aerial applications to cotton,
  - > 350 acres per day for aerial applications to all other crops,
  - > 350 acres per day for chemigation applications,
  - > 200 acres per day for groundboom applications to cotton,
  - > 80 acres per day for groundboom applications to all other crops,
  - > 40 acres per day for airblast applications to tree crops.
  - > 5 acres per day for handgun applications to tree crops, and
  - ➤ 2 acres per day for a spotgun (low-pressure handwand applications to bananas and plantains. Note: According to information provided by DuPont, there are

approximately 715 banana plants per acre and a person can apply oxamyl with a spotgun to approximately 2 acres per day. Since 10 mL of concentrate is applied per plant, then 3.6 pounds active ingredient is applied per acre.

• There are no data to assess handler exposure during a shank injection application. However, exposure to handlers during groundboom application is considered to be a reasonable surrogate.

#### 4.1.2 Handler Equations

Potential daily dermal exposure is calculated using the following formula:

$$Daily\ Dermal\ Exposure\left(\frac{mg\ ai}{day}\right) = Unit\ Exposure\left(\frac{mg\ ai}{lb\ ai}\right) x\ Use\ Rate\left(\frac{lb\ ai}{A}\right) x\ Daily\ Acres\ Treated\left(\frac{A}{day}\right)$$

Potential daily inhalation exposure is calculated using the following formula:

$$Daily\ Inhalation\ Exposure\left(\frac{mg\ ai}{day}\right) =$$

$$Unit\ Exposure\left(\frac{\mu g\ ai}{lb\ ai}\right)x\ Conversion\ Factor\left(\frac{1mg}{1,000\ \mu g}\right)x\ Use\ Rate\left(\frac{lb\ ai}{A}\right)x\ Daily\ Acres\ Treated\left(\frac{A}{day}\right)$$

The daily dermal and inhalation dose is calculated using a 70 kg body weight for both short-term and intermediate-term exposure as follows:

$$Daily\ Dermal\ Dose \left(\frac{mg\ ai}{Kg/Day}\right) = Daily\ Dermal\ Exposure \left(\frac{mg\ ai}{Day}\right) x \left(\frac{1}{Body\ Weight\ (Kg)}\right)$$

$$Daily\ Inhalation\ Dose \left(\frac{mg\ ai}{kg/day}\right) = Daily\ Inhalation\ Exposure \left(\frac{mg\ ai}{day}\right) x \left(\frac{1}{Body\ Weight\ (kg)}\right)$$

The short-term and intermediate-term risks for dermal exposure were calculated using a NOAEL of 17.05 mg/kg/day. The short-term and intermediate-term risks for inhalation exposure were calculated using a NOAEL of 0.39 mg/kg/day. The inhalation and dermal MOEs were calculated using the following formulas:

$$Dermal\ MOE = \frac{NOAEL\left(\frac{mg}{kg/day}\right)}{Dermal\ Daily\ Dose\left(\frac{mg}{kg/day}\right)}$$

$$Inhalation \ MOE = \frac{NOAEL\left(\frac{mg}{kg/day}\right)}{Inhalation \ Daily \ Dose\left(\frac{mg}{kg/day}\right)}$$

A common toxicological endpoint does not exist for the dermal and inhalation routes. Therefore, the Margins of Exposure (MOEs) for dermal and inhalation cannot be combined for an aggregate occupational assessment.

#### 4.1.3 Summary of Risk Concerns for Occupational Handlers

Short-term and intermediate-term risks at baseline attire – long-sleeved shirt, long pants, shoes, socks, and no respirator – (developed using PHED Version 1.1 and ORETF surrogate data) are presented in Table 6. The short- and intermediate-term risks with baseline attire plus personal protective equipment and with engineering controls are presented in Table 7. HED's levels of concern for occupational workers are 100 for dermal risks and 30 for inhalation risks. A common toxicological endpoint does not exist for the dermal and inhalation routes. Therefore, the Margins of Exposure (MOEs) for dermal and inhalation cannot be combined for an aggregate occupational assessment. The short- and intermediate-term dermal risks are identical since the same endpoint was chosen for short- and intermediate-term dermal assessments. The short- and intermediate-term inhalation risks are also identical since the same endpoint was chosen for short- and intermediate-term inhalation assessments.

#### 4.1.3.1 Dermal Risks

The short- and intermediate-term dermal risks did not exceed HED's level of concern with **baseline** attire for the following scenarios:

- Applying sprays with a groundboom sprayer on all crops and all application rates, and
- Flagging to support aerial spray operations on all crops and all application rates.

The short- and intermediate-term MOEs did not exceed HED's level of concern with the addition of **chemical-resistant gloves** to baseline attire for the following scenarios:

- Mixing/loading liquid concentrates to support aerial and chemigation applications at the 0.5 lb ai/A and 1.0 lb ai/A application rate and 350 acres per day,
- Mixing/loading liquid concentrates for groundboom applications,
- Mixing/loading liquid concentrates for airblast applications,
- Applying sprays via airblast equipment at the 1.0 lb ai/A application rate,

- Mixing/loading/applying liquid concentrates with low pressure handwand, and
- Mixing/loading/applying liquid concentrates with a handgun sprayer

The short- and intermediate-term MOEs did not exceed HED's level of concern with the addition of **double layer body attire** and **chemical-resistant gloves** for the following scenarios:

- Mixing/loading liquid concentrates to support aerial applications to cotton at the 0.5 lb ai/A application rate and 1200 acres per day,
- Mixing/loading liquid concentrates to support chemigation applications at the 2.0 lb ai/A application rate and 350 acres per day, and
- Applying sprays via airblast equipment at the 2.0 lb ai/A application rate.

The short- and intermediate-term MOEs did not exceed HED's level of concern with **engineering controls** for:

- Closed system mixing/loading liquid concentrates to support aerial applications to cotton in California and Arizona at the 1.0 lb ai/A application rate and 1200 acres per day, and
- Applying sprays with aircraft with enclosed cockpit and baseline attire-- the only data available is for engineering controls (i.e., an enclosed cockpit).

#### 4.1.3.2 Inhalation Risks

The short- and intermediate-term inhalation risks did not exceed HED's level of concern at the **baseline** level (no respirator) for all handler scenarios, *except* mixing/loading to support aerial applications to cotton in California and Arizona at the 1.0 lb ai/day application rate. For mixing/loading to support aerial applications to cotton in California and Arizona at the 1.0 lb ai/day application rate, a quarter-face, dust/mist filtering respirator with a protection factor of 5 is needed to mitigate short- and intermediate-term inhalation risks. Note that only the only data available for applying sprays with aircraft is for engineering controls (i.e., an enclosed cockpit) — the risks did not exceed HED's level of concern for aerial applicators at baseline attire as long as enclosed cockpits are used.

		Application	Area Unit Exposure <sup>c, d</sup> Dermal Dose		al Dose	MOEs			
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation (µg/lb ai)	Dermal <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation <sup>f</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Dermal <sup>g</sup> (LOC = 100)	Inhalation <sup>h</sup> (LOC = 30)
			Mixer	/Loader					
Mixing/Loading Liquid Concentrates for Aerial Applications (PHED)	Apples (WA only); Citrus; Clover Grown for Seed (SLN CA), Cucurbits (East of Rockies), Garlic (OR & CA - Modoc & Siskiyou counties, SLN NY); Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear); Dry Bulb Onions (CA - Modoc & Siskiyou counties, OR, ID, WA, SLN CO, SLN NV, SLN NY, SLN UT); Peppermint and Spearmint (ID, MI, MT, OR, WA, WI); Peppers; Potatoes (also SLN PA); Tomatoes	1	350	2.9	1.2	15	0.006	1.2	65
	Cotton (CA and AZ)	1	1200	2.9	1.2	50	0.021	0.3	19
	Cotton (not AZ and CA)	0.5	1200	2.9	1.2	25	0.01	0.7	38
	Celery (AZ, CA, FL); Dry Bulb Onions (MI, NM, TX, SLN WI); Peanuts (not CA)	0.5	350	2.9	1.2	7.3	0.003	2.4	130

Exposure Scenario Crop or Target		Application Area Treated	Unit Ex	Unit Exposure <sup>c, d</sup>		al Dose	MOEs		
	Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation (μg/lb ai)	Dermal <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation <sup>f</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Dermal <sup>g</sup> (LOC = 100)	Inhalation <sup>h</sup> (LOC = 30)	
Mixing/Loading Liquid	Apples; Carrot (not CA); Celery (FL, PA, OH, MI, TX); Citrus; Eggplant (not CA); Garlic (CA, OR); Dry Bulb Onions (CA, OR, ID, WA. MI, TX); Pears (not CA); Peppermint and Spearmint (ID, MI, MT, OR, WA, WI); Pineapple (not CA); Potatoes (SLN MD, SLN NY, SLN PA); Tobacco; Tomatoes	2	350	2.9	1.2	29	0.012	0.6	33
Concentrates for Chemigation Applications (PHED)	Apples for Thinning (NJ, PA, VA, WV); Cotton (CA and AZ); Cucurbits; Dry Bulb Onions (SLN CO, SLN NV, SLN UT); Eggplant (CA); Garlic (SLNWA); Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear); Peppers; Potatoes	1	350	2.9	1.2	15	0.006	1.2	65
	Celery (AZ, CA); Cotton (not CA and AZ); Dry Bulb Onions (NM); Peanuts (not CA); Yams (Puerto Rico only)	0.5	350	2.9	1.2	7.3	0.003	2.4	130
Mixing/Loading Liquids Concentrates for Groundboom Applications (PHED)	Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear; soil); Carrots (not CA); Celery (FL, PA, OH, MI, TX); Cucurbits (East of Rockies); Garlic (OR, SLN NY); Ginger Roots (HI); Dry Bulb Onions (MI, TX, OR, ID, WA, SLN NY, SLN WI); Sweet Potatoes (not in CA); Potatoes (U.S. except Northeast, Mid-Atlantic States)	4 (all soil- directed)	80	2.9	1.2	13	0.0055	1.3	71

Table 6. Occupat	tional Short-Term and I	ntermedia		Dermal aı	nd Inhalat	ion Oxamy	l Doses an	d Risks at I	Baseline.
		Application	Area Treated	Unit Ex	posure <sup>c, d</sup>	Derma	al Dose	МС	)Es
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation (µg/lb ai)	Dermal <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation <sup>f</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Dermal <sup>g</sup> (LOC = 100)	Inhalation <sup>h</sup> (LOC = 30)
	Pineapples (not CA); Eggplants (not CA); Garlic (CA); Dry Bulb Onions (CA); Peppermint and Spearmint (ID, MI, MT, OR, WA, WI); Potatoes (SLN MD, SLN NY, SLN PA); Tobacco; Sugar Beets	2	80	2.9	1.2	6.6	0.0027	2.6	140
	Tomatoes (CA)	1.25	80	2.9	1.2	4.1	0.0017	4.1	230
Mixing/Loading Liquids Concentrates for Groundboom Applications (PHED) cont	Clover Grown for Seed (SLN CA); Cucurbits (West of Rockies); Dry Bulb Onions (SLN CO, SLN NV, SLN UT); Eggplants (CA); Garlic (SLNWA); Peppers; Potatoes (Northeast and Mid-Atlantic States); Tomatoes (entire US)	1	80	2.9	1.2	3.3	0.0014	5.1	280
	Cotton (AZ and CA)	1	200	2.9	1.2	8.3	0.0034	2.1	110
	Cotton (U.S. except CA and AZ)	0.5	200	2.9	1.2	4.1	0.0017	4.1	230
	Celery (AZ, CA); Dry Bulb Onions (NM); Yams (Puerto Rico Only); Peanuts (not in CA)	0.5	80	2.9	1.2	1.7	0.00069	10	570

		Application	Area Treated	Unit Exp	posure <sup>c, d</sup>	Derma	al Dose	MC	Es
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation (µg/lb ai)	Dermal <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation <sup>f</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Dermal <sup>g</sup> (LOC = 100)	Inhalation <sup>h</sup> (LOC = 30)
Mixing/Loading Liquids Concentrates for Airblast Applications (PHED)	Apples; Pears (not CA)	2	40	2.9	1.2	3.3	0.0014	5.1	280
Mixing/Loading Liquids Concentrates for Airblast Applications (PHED) cont	Apples for Thinning (NJ, PA, VA, WV); Citrus; Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear)	1	40	2.9	1.2	1.7	0.00069	10	570
	-		App	licator					
Applying Sprays via Aerial Equipment (PHED)	Apples (WA only); Citrus; Clover Grown for Seed (SLN CA); Cucurbits (East of Rockies), Garlic (OR & CA - Modoc & Siskiyou counties, SLN NY); Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear); Dry Bulb Onions (CA - Modoc & Siskiyou counties, OR, ID, WA, SLN CO, SLN NV, SLN NY, SLN UT); Peppermint and Spearmint (ID, MI, MT, OR, WA, WI); Peppers; Potatoes (also SLN PA); Tomatoes	1	350	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
	Cotton (CA and AZ)	1	1,200	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
	Cotton (not AZ and CA)	0.5	1,200	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
I	Celery (AZ, CA, FL); Dry Bulb Onions (MI, NM, TX, SLN WI); Peanuts (not CA)	0.5	350	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data

		Application	Area Treated	Unit Ex	posure <sup>c, d</sup>	Derma	al Dose	MC	)Es
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation (µg/lb ai)	Dermal <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation <sup>f</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Dermal <sup>g</sup> (LOC = 100)	Inhalation <sup>h</sup> (LOC = 30)
Applying Sprays via Groundboom Equipment	Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear); Carrot (not CA); Celery (FL, PA, OH, MI, TX); Cucurbits (East of Rockies); Garlic (OR, SLN NY); Ginger Root (HI); Dry Bulb Onions (MI, TX, OR, ID, WA, SLN NY, SLN WI); Sweet Potatoes (not in CA); Potatoes (U.S. except Northeast, Mid-Atlantic States)	4 (all soil- directed)	80	0.014	0.74	0.064	0.0034	270	120
	Pineapple (not CA); Eggplant (not CA); Garlic (CA); Dry Bulb Onions (CA); Peppermint and Spearmint (ID, MI, MT, OR, WA, WI); Potatoes (SLN MD, SLN NY, SLN PA); Tobacco; Sugar Beet	2	80	0.014	0.74	0.032	0.0017	530	230
(PHED)	Tomatoes (CA)	1.25	80	0.014	0.74	0.02	0.0011	850	370
	Clover Grown for Seed (SLN CA); Cucurbits (West of Rockies); Dry Bulb Onions (SLN CO, SLN NV, SLN UT): Eggplant (CA); Garlic (SLNWA); Peppers; Potatoes (Northeast and Mid-Atlantic States); Tomatoes (entire US)	1	80	0.014	0.74	0.016	0.00085	1,100	460
	Cotton (AZ and CA)	1	200	0.014	0.74	0.04	0.0021	430	180
	Cotton (U.S. except CA and AZ)	0.5	200	0.014	0.74	0.02	0.0011	850	370

		Application	Area Treated	Unit Ex	posure <sup>c, d</sup>	Derma	al Dose	MOEs		
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation (µg/lb ai)	Dermal <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation <sup>f</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Ad Risks at E  MC  Dermal <sup>8</sup> (LOC = 100)  2,100  41  83  310  620	Inhalation <sup>h</sup> (LOC = 30)	
Applying Sprays via Groundboom Equipment (PHED) cont	Celery (AZ, CA); Dry Bulb Onions (NM); Yams (Puerto Rico Only); Peanuts (not in CA)	0.5	80	0.014	0.74	0.008	0.00042	2,100	920	
Applying Sprays via	Apples; Pears (not CA)	2	40	0.36	4.5	0.41	0.0051	41	76	
Airblast Equipment PHED)	Apples for Thinning (NJ, PA, VA, WV); Citrus; Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear)	1	40	0.36	4.5	0.21	0.0026	83	150	
			Fla	ıgger						
Flagging for Aerial Sprays Applications (PHED)	Apples (WA only); Citrus; Clover Grown for Seed (SLN CA); Cucurbits (East of Rockies), Garlic (OR & CA - Modoc & Siskiyou counties, SLN NY); Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear); Dry Bulb Onions (CA - Modoc & Siskiyou counties, OR, ID, WA, SLN CO, SLN NV, SLN NY, SLN UT); Peppermint and Spearmint (ID, MI, MT, OR, WA, WI); Peppers; Potatoes (also SLN PA); Tomatoes	1	350	0.011	0.35	0.055	0.0018	310	220	
	Cotton (CA and AZ)	1	350	0.011	0.35	0.055	0.0018	310	220	
	Cotton (U.S. except AZ and CA)	0.5	350	0.011	0.35	0.028	0.00088	620	450	
	Celery (AZ, CA, FL); Dry Bulb Onions (MI, NM, TX, SLN WI); Peanuts (not CA)	0.5	350	0.011	0.35	0.028	0.00088	620	450	

		Application	Area Treated	Unit Ex	posure <sup>c, d</sup>	Derma	al Dose	МС	)Es
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation (µg/lb ai)	Dermal <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation <sup>f</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Dermal <sup>g</sup> (LOC = 100)	Inhalation <sup>h</sup> (LOC = 30)
		N	1ixer/Load	er/Applicator		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Mixing/Loading/Applying Liquid Concentrates with Low Pressure Handwand (PHED)	Bananas, Plantains (PR)	3.6	2	100	30	10	0.0031	1.7	130
Mixing/Loading/Applying Liquid Concentrates with Low Pressure Handwand (ORETF, ground directed)	Bananas, Plantains (PR)	3.6	2	15	2.7	1.5	0.00028	11	1,400
Mixing/Loading/Applying	Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear)	4	5	No Data	1.8	No Data	0.00051	No Data	760
Liquid Concentrates with a	Apples; Pears (not CA)	2	5	No Data	1.8	No Data	0.00026	No Data	1,500
Handgun Sprayer (LCO ORETF data)	Apples for Thinning (NJ, PA, VA, WV); Citrus	1	5	No Data	1.8	No Data	0.00013	No Data	3,000

#### **Footnotes**

- a. Application rates are maximum application rates from the labels.
- b. Science Advisory Council Policy # 9.1
- c. Unit Exposures based on PHED Version 1.1 and ORETF. Baseline dermal unit exposure represents long pants, long sleeved shirt, no gloves, open mixing/loading, open cab tractor. Baseline inhalation exposure represents no respirator.
- d. Only engineering control (enclosed cockpit) data are available to assess dermal and inhalation risks to handlers operating aircraft. Only baseline attire (single layer of clothing) plus chemical-resistant glove data are available to assess dermal risks to handlers mixing/loading/applying liquid concentrates with a handgun sprayer.
- e. Dermal Dose (mg/kg/day) = daily unit exposure (mg/lb ai) x application rate (lb ai/acre) x acres treated / body weight (70 kg).
- f. Inhalation Dose (mg/kg/day) = daily unit exposure (µg/lb ai) x conversion factor (1 mg/1,000 µg) x application rate (lb ai/acre) x acres treated / body weight (70 kg).
- g. Dermal MOE = NOAEL (17.05 mg/kg/day) / dermal daily dose (mg/kg/day). Level of concern = 100.
- h. Inhalation MOE = NOAEL (0.39 mg/kg/day) / inhalation daily dose (mg/kg/day). Level of concern = 30.

Table 7.	Occupational Short-Term and I with Personal Prote					v	Doses and	Risk	
			Area	Unit Expo	sure <sup>c, d</sup>	Dermal	Dose	МС	)Es
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	Application Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Treated Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal PPE- G (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation 80% PPE- R (µg/lb ai)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>f</sup> (LOC = 100)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>g</sup> (LOC = 30)
		Mi	xer/Loade	r					
Mixing/Loading Liquid	Apples (WA only); Citrus; Clover Grown for Seed (SLN CA); Cucurbits (East of Rockies), Garlic (OR & CA - Modoc & Siskiyou counties, SLN NY); Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear); Dry Bulb Onions (CA - Modoc & Siskiyou counties, OR, ID, WA, SLN CO, SLN NV, SLN NY, SLN UT); Peppermint and Spearmint (ID, MI, MT, OR, WA, WI); Peppers; Potatoes (also SLN-PA); Tomatoes	1	350	0.023	0.24	0.12	0.0012	150	330
Concentrates for Aerial Applications (PHED)	Cotton (CA and AZ)	1	1200	0.023 (0.017 for PPE-G, DL and 0.0086 for EC)	0.24	0.39 (0.29 for PPE-G, DL and 0.15 for EC)	0.0041	43 (59 for PPE- G, DL & 120 for EC)	95
	Cotton (not AZ and CA)	0.5	1200	0.023 (0.017 for PPE-G, DL)	0.24	0.2 (0.15 for PPE-G, DL)	0.0021	86 (120 for PPE-G, DL)	190
	Celery (AZ, CA, FL); Dry Bulb Onions (MI, NM, TX, SLN WI); Peanuts (not CA)	0.5	350	0.023	0.24	0.058	0.0006	300	650
Mixing/Loading Liquid Concentrates for Chemigation Applications (PHED)	Apples; Carrot (not CA); Celery (FL, PA, OH, MI, TX); Citrus; Eggplant (not CA); Garlic (CA, OR); Dry Bulb Onions (CA, OR, ID, WA. MI, TX); Pears (not CA); Peppermint and Spearmint (ID, MI, MT, OR, WA, WI); Pineapple (not CA); Potatoes (SLN MD, SLN NY, SLN PA); Tobacco; Tomatoes	2	350	0.023 (0.017 for PPE-G, DL)	0.24	0.23 (0.17 for PPE-G, DL)	0.0024	74 (100 for PPE-G, DL)	160

Table 7.	Occupational Short-Term and I with Personal Prote					•	Doses and	Risk	
			Area	Unit Expo	sure <sup>c, d</sup>	Dermal	Dose	MOEs	
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	Application Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Treated Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal PPE- G (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation 80% PPE- R (µg/lb ai)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>f</sup> (LOC = 100)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>g</sup> (LOC = 30)
	Apples for Thinning (NJ, PA, VA, WV); Cotton (CA and AZ); Cucurbits; Eggplant (CA); Garlic (SLNWA); Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear); Peppers; Potatoes	1	350	0.023	0.24	0.12	0.0012	150	330
	Celery (AZ, CA); Cotton (not CA and AZ); Dry Bulb Onions (NM); Peanuts (not CA); Yams (Puerto Rico only)	0.5	350	0.023	0.24	0.058	0.0006	300	650
Mixing/Loading Liquid Concentrates for Groundboom Applications (PHED)	Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear; soil); Carrots (not CA); Celery (FL, PA, OH, MI, TX); Cucurbits (East of Rockies); Garlic (OR, SLN NY); Ginger Roots (HI); Dry Bulb Onions (MI, TX, OR, ID, WA, SLN NY, SLN WI); Sweet Potatoes (not in CA); Potatoes (U.S. except Northeast, Mid-Atlantic States)	4 (all soil- directed)	80	0.023	0.24	0.11	0.0011	160	360
	Pineapples (not CA); Eggplants (not CA); Garlic (CA); Dry Bulb Onions (CA); Peppermint and Spearmint (ID, MI, MT, OR, WA, WI); Potatoes (SLN MD, SLN NY, SLN PA); Tobacco; Sugar Beets	2	80	0.023	0.24	0.053	0.00055	320	710

Table 7.	. Occupational Short-Term and I with Personal Prote					•	Doses and	Risk		
			Area	Unit Expo		Dermal	Dose	MC	OEs	
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	Application Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Treated Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal PPE- G (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation 80% PPE- R (μg/lb ai)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>f</sup> (LOC = 100)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>g</sup> (LOC = 30)	
	Tomatoes (CA)	1.25	80	0.023	0.24	0.033	0.00034	520	1,100	
Mixing/Loading Liquid Concentrates for Groundboom	Clover Grown for Seed (SLN CA); Cucurbits (West of Rockies); Dry Bulb Onions (, SLN CO, SLN NV, SLN UT); Eggplants (CA); Garlic (SLNWA); Peppers; Potatoes (Northeast and Mid-Atlantic States); Tomatoes (entire US)	1	80	0.023	0.24	0.026	0.00027	650	1,400	
Applications (PHED) cont	Cotton (AZ and CA)	1	200	0.023	0.24	0.066	0.00069	260	570	
	Cotton (U.S. except CA and AZ)	0.5	200	0.023	0.24	0.033	0.00034	520	1,100	
	Celery (AZ, CA); Dry Bulb Onions (NM); Yams (Puerto Rico Only); Peanuts (not in CA)	0.5	80	0.023	0.24	0.013	0.00014	1,300	2,800	
Mixing/Loading Liquid	Apples; Pears (not CA)	2	40	0.023	0.24	0.026	0.00027	650	1,400	
Concentrates for Airblast Applications (PHED)	Apples for Thinning (NJ, PA, VA, WV); Citrus; Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear)	1	40	0.023	0.24	0.013	0.00014	1,300	2,800	
		A	pplicator							

Table 7	. Occupational Short-Term and I					•	Doses and	Risk	
	with Personal Prote	ective Equ		Unit Expo	· · ·	ntrols.  Dermal	Dose	MO	)Es
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	Application Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Area Treated Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal PPE- G (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation 80% PPE- R (µg/lb ai)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>f</sup> (LOC = 100)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>g</sup> (LOC = 30)
Applying Sprays via Aerial Equipment (PHED) <sup>d</sup>	Apples (WA only); Citrus; Clover Grown for Seed (SLN CA); Cucurbits (East of Rockies), Garlic (OR & CA - Modoc & Siskiyou counties, SLN NY); Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear); Dry Bulb Onions (CA - Modoc & Siskiyou counties, OR, ID, WA, SLN CO, SLN NV, SLN NY, SLN UT, SLN WI); Peppermint and Spearmint (ID, MI, MT, OR, WA, WI); Peppers; Potatoes (also SLN PA); Tomatoes	1	350	0.005 (w/ EC)	0.068 (w/ EC)	0.025 (w/ EC)	0.00034 (w/ EC)	680 (w/ EC)	<b>1,100</b> (w/ EC)
	Cotton (CA and AZ)	1	1200	0.005 (w/ EC)	0.068 (w/ EC)	0.086 (w/ EC)	0.0012 (w/ EC)	200 (w/ EC)	330 (w/ EC)
	Cotton (not AZ and CA)	0.5	1200	0.005 (w/ EC)	0.068 (w/ EC)	0.043 (w/ EC)	0.00058 (w/ EC)	400 (w/ EC)	670 (w/ EC)
	Celery (AZ, CA, FL); Dry Bulb Onions (MI, NM, TX); Peanuts (not CA)	0.5	350	0.005 (w/ EC)	0.068 (w/ EC)	0.013 (w/ EC)	0.00017 (w/ EC)	1,400 (w/ EC)	<b>2,300</b> (w/ EC)
Applying Sprays via Groundboom Equipment	Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear); Carrot (not CA); Celery (FL, PA, OH, MI, TX); Cucurbits (East of Rockies); Garlic (OR, SLN NY); Ginger Root (HI); Dry Bulb Onions (MI, TX, OR, ID, WA, SLN NY, SLN WI); Sweet Potatoes (not in CA); Potatoes (U.S. except Northeast, Mid-Atlantic States)	4 (all soil- directed)	80	0.014	0.148	0.064	0.00068	270	580
(PHED)	Pineapple (not CA); Eggplant (not CA); Garlic (CA); Dry Bulb Onions (CA); Peppermint and Spearmint (ID, MI, MT, OR, WA, WI); Potatoes (SLN MD, SLN NY, SLN PA); Tobacco; Sugar Beet	2	80	0.014	0.148	0.032	0.00034	530	1,200
	Tomatoes (CA)	1.25	80	0.014	0.148	0.02	0.00021	850	1,800

Table 7	Occupational Short-Term and I	ntermedia	te-Teri	n Dermal aı	nd Inhala	tion Oxamyl	Doses and	Risk	_
	with Personal Prote	ective Equ	ipment	and Engine	eering Co	ntrols.			
·			Area	Unit Expo	sure <sup>c, d</sup>	Dermal	Dose	MC	)Es
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	Application Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Treated Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal PPE- G (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation 80% PPE- R (µg/lb ai)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>f</sup> (LOC = 100)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>g</sup> (LOC = 30)
Applying Sprays via	Clover Grown for Seed (SLN CA); Cucurbits (West of Rockies); Dry Bulb Onions (SLN CO, SLN NV, SLN U T); Eggplant (CA); Garlic (SLNWA); Peppers; Potatoes (Northeast and Mid-Atlantic States); Tomatoes (entire US)	1	80	0.014	0.148	0.016	0.00017	1,100	2,300
Groundboom Equipment (PHED) cont.	Cotton (AZ and CA)	1	200	0.014	0.148	0.04	0.00042	430	920
, , ,	Cotton (U.S. except CA and AZ)	0.5	200	0.014	0.148	0.02	0.00021	850	1,800
	Celery (AZ, CA); Dry Bulb Onions (NM); Yams (Puerto Rico Only); Peanuts (not in CA)	0.5	80	0.014	0.148	0.008	0.000085	2,100	4,600
Applying Sprays via Airblast Equipment (PHED)	Apples; Pears (not CA)	2	40	0.24 (0.22 for PPE-G, DL, 0.17 for PPE- G,DL, HG & 0.019 for EC)	0.9	0.27 (0.25 for PPE- G, DL, 0.19 for PPE-G,DL, HG & 0.022 for EC)	0.001	62 (68 for PPE- G, DL, 88 for PPE- G,DL, HG & 790 for EC)	380
	Apples for Thinning (NJ, PA, VA, WV); Citrus; Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear)	1	40	0.24	0.9	0.14	0.00051	120	760

Table 7	. Occupational Short-Term and I					•	Doses and	Risk	
	with Personal Prote	ective Equ	ipment	and Engine	eering Co	ntrols.		<del></del>	
		A 11:	Area	Unit Expo	osure <sup>c, d</sup>	Dermal	Dose	MC	)Es
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	Application Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Treated Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal PPE- G (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation 80% PPE- R (µg/lb ai)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>f</sup> (LOC = 100)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>8</sup> (LOC = 30)
			Flagger						
Flagging for Aerial Sprays Applications (PHED)	Apples (WA only); Citrus; Clover Grown for Seed (SLN CA); Cucurbits (East of Rockies), Garlic (OR & CA - Modoc & Siskiyou counties, SLN NY); Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear); Dry Bulb Onions (CA - Modoc & Siskiyou counties, OR, ID, WA, SLN CO, SLN NV, SLN NY, SLN UT, SLN WI); Peppermint and Spearmint (ID, MI, MT, OR, WA, WI); Peppers; Potatoes (also SLN PA); Tomatoes	1	350	Not applicable	0.07	Not applicable	0.00035	Not applicable	1,100
	Cotton (CA and AZ)	1	350	Not applicable	0.07	Not applicable	0.00035	Not applicable	1,100
	Cotton (U.S. except AZ and CA)	0.5	350	Not applicable	0.07	Not applicable	0.00018	Not applicable	2,200
	Celery (AZ, CA, FL); Dry Bulb Onions (MI, NM, TX); Peanuts (not CA)	0.5	350	Not applicable	0.07	Not applicable	0.00018	Not applicable	2,200
		Mixer/L	oader/App	licator			<u> </u>		
Mixing/Loading/Applying Liquid Concentrates with Low Pressure Handwand (PHED)	Bananas, Plantains (PR)	.3.6	2	0.43	6	0.044	0.00061	390	640
Mixing/Loading/Applying Liquid Concentrates with Low Pressure Handwand (ORETF, ground directed)	Bananas, Plantains (PR)	3.6	2	0.33	0.54	0.034	0.000055	510	7,100

Table 7.	Table 7. Occupational Short-Term and Intermediate-Term Dermal and Inhalation Oxamyl Doses and Risk													
	with Personal Prot	ective Equ	ipment	and Engine	ering Co	ntrols.								
		A1:	Area	Unit Expo	sure <sup>c, d</sup>	Dermal	Dose	MC	)Es					
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	Application Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai/A)	Treated Daily <sup>b</sup> (acres)	Dermal PPE- G (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation 80% PPE- R (µg/lb ai)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>e</sup> (mg/kg/day)	Dermal PPE-G <sup>f</sup> (LOC = 100)	Inhalation 80% PPE-R respirator <sup>8</sup> (LOC = 30)					
Mixing/Loading/Applying Liquid Concentrates with a	Nonbearing Fruit (apple, cherry, citrus, peach, pear)	4	5	0.45	0.36	0.13	0.0001	130	3,800					
Handgun Sprayer (LCO ORETF data)	Apples; Pears (not CA)	2	5	0.45	0.36	0.064	0.000051	270	7,600					
Mixing/Loading/Applying Liquid Concentrates with a Handgun Sprayer (LCO ORETF data) cont	Apples for Thinning (NJ, PA, VA, WV); Citrus	1	5	0.45	0.36	0.032	0.000026	530	15,000					

#### **Footnotes**

- a. Application rates are maximum application rates from the labels.
- b. Science Advisory Council Policy # 9.1
- c. Unit Exposures based on PHED Version 1.1 and ORETF.

Baseline dermal unit exposure represents long pants, long sleeved shirt, no gloves, open mixing/loading, open cab tractor.

Baseline inhalation exposure represents no respirator.

- PPE G = Baseline attire (single layer of clothing) plus chemical-resistant gloves.
- PPE G, DL = Coveralls worn over long-sleeve shirt and long pants, plus chemical-resistant gloves. An HG indicates that head gear is also worn.
- PPE R 80% = A quarter-face dust/mist respirator (that provides an 80% protection factor).
- EC = Engineering Control = closed systems for mixing/loading liquids, enclosed cockpits for aerial application, and enclosed cabs for airblast application.
- d. Only engineering control (enclosed cockpit) data are available to assess dermal and inhalation risks to handlers operating aircraft.
- e. Dermal Dose (mg/kg/day) = daily unit exposure (mg/lb ai) x application rate (lb ai/acre) x acres treated / body weight (70 kg).
- f. Inhalation Dose (mg/kg/day) = daily unit exposure (µg/lb ai) x conversion factor (1 mg/1,000 µg) x application rate (lb ai/acre) x acres treated / body weight (70 kg).
- g. Dermal MOE = NOAEL (17.05 mg/kg/day) / dermal daily dose (mg/kg/day). Level of concern = 100.
- h. Inhalation MOE = NOAEL (0.39 mg/kg/day) / inhalation daily dose (mg/kg/day). Level of concern = 30.

# 4.2 Postapplication Exposures

# 4.2.1 Postapplication Assumptions and Calculations

**Inhalation:** HED assumes that inhalation exposures are minimal following outdoor applications of an active ingredient with low vapor pressure.

**Dermal:** The registrant has submitted three dislodgeable foliar residue (DFR) studies in support of the reregistration of oxamyl:

- "Dissipation of Dislodgeable Foliar and Soil Residues of Oxamyl Following Application of Vydate<sup>®</sup> L Insecticide to Tomatoes in the USA- Season 1997 and 1998;" MRID 447048-01.
- "Dissipation of Dislodgeable Foliar Residues of Oxamyl from Citrus Following Application of Vydate® L Insecticide in the U.S.A Season 1997;" MRID 446869-01.
- "Dissipation of Dislodgeable Foliar Residues of Oxamyl from Cucumbers Following Application of Vydate® L Insecticide in the U.S.A. Season 1997;" MRID 446869-02.

The dissipation data obtained from these studies has been used to determine the days after treatment when the calculated MOE did not exceed HED's level of concern (MOE  $\geq$  100) for oxamyl crops following foliar applications of oxamyl. The raw data from the studies are corrected for recoveries as appropriate. The data is then natural log transformed. A semi-log regression analysis is run on the log transformed data. From the regression analysis, a dissipation rate (slope) and predicted dislodgeable foliar residue data for each site and crop is determined. If the day 0 actual DFR data are significantly higher than the predicted DFR, then the actual data are used for day 0 calculations. The following calculations are used to calculate the dose and risk. The Restricted Entry Interval (REI) typically is established on the day that the calculated MOE is 100 or above.

Daily dose is calculated as follows:

$$Dose\left(mg/kg/d\right) = \frac{\left(DFR\left(\left.\mu g\right/\left.cm^{2}\right)x\,Tc\left(\left.cm^{2}\right/hr\right)x\,CF\left(\frac{1\,mg}{1,000\,\mu g}\right)x\,ED\left(hrs\right)}{BW\left(kg\right)}$$

Where:

DFR = Dislodgeable Foliar Residue initial or daily ( $\mu$ g/cm<sup>2</sup>) at time (t).

Tc = Transfer coefficient  $(cm^2/hr)$ 

Page 32 of 43

CF = Conversion factor (1 mg/1,000 μg) ED = Exposure duration (hours per day) BW = Body weight (kg)

The daily MOE is calculated as follows:

$$MOE = \frac{NOAEL (mg/kg/day)}{Dose (mg/kg/day)}$$

Where:

NOAEL = 17.05 mg/kg/day Dose = Calculated dose

The following general assumptions are made:

- Only crops where oxamyl is applied to the foliage are included in this assessment. There are no soil transfer values available to evaluate postapplication exposure and risk following oxamyl applications to the soil. However, the exposure is likely to be minimal and the default REI for oxamyl should be sufficiently protective for postapplication workers exposed to oxamyl in the soil.
- The transfer coefficients used in this assessment are from the Agricultural Reentry Task Force (ARTF) database. An interim transfer coefficient policy was developed by HED's Science Advisory Council for Exposure using the ARTF database (policy # 3.1). It is the intention of HED's Science Advisory Council for Exposure that this policy will be periodically updated to incorporate additional information about agricultural practices in crops and new data on transfer coefficients.
- A route-specific dermal study was used to select an endpoint, so a dermal absorption value is not necessary.
- The exposure duration is assumed to be 8 hour work day.
- Adult body weight is 70 kg.

Table 8 is a summary of the DFR studies' parameters. A detailed summary of each DFR is below.

Table 8. Comparison	of Dislodge	able Foliar	Residue	Study Par	ameters		
Сгор	Ton	natoes	C	itrus	Cucumbers		
Site	Florida	California	Florida	California	Georgia	California	
Slope	-0.43	-0.12	-0.235	-0.078	-0.51	-0.282	
Initial Residues (μg/cm²)	1.85	3.83 (actual)	2.14 (actual)	2.05 (actual)	4.1 (actual)	3.9 (actual)	
Study Application Rate (lb ai/A)		1		1		1	
Half Life (days)	1.6	5.8	3.0	8.9	1.4	2.5	
R <sup>2</sup> Values	0.99	0.67	0.86	0.76	0.81	0.94	

Cucumber DFR Study - MRID 446869-02: The study: "Dissipation of Dislodgeable Foliar Residues of Oxamyl from Cucumbers Following Application of Vydate® L Insecticide in the U.S.A. - Season 1997;" (MRID 446869-02), was submitted by the registrant and reviewed by HED. The study was conducted at two sites, one in California and one in Georgia, during the summer of 1997. The treated plot at each site received two applications of Vydate® L insecticide/ nematicide using a tractor-mounted boom sprayer. There was a fourteen-day interval between the applications. The application rate for each treatment was 1 pound of active ingredient (ai) per acre applied at a rate of 50 gallons per acre of finished spray at both sites. The data from leaf punches after the second treatment were used to characterize concentration of oxamyl on the treated crop and the rate of dissipation.

The subplots at the California site were furrow /flood irrigated, while the subplots at the Georgia site did not require irrigation. The rainfall for the month of July was 10.64 inches at the Georgia site. This exceeded the 10-year average for July at the Georgia site of 6.58 inches. However, the total average rainfall during the course of the study at the Georgia site was 12.55 inches. This was lower than the 10-year total average for the same stretch of time, which was 14.97 inches. The first rainfall after the last application at the Georgia site occurred on Day 4. At the California site, there was no rain during the course of the study and the irrigation water did not wet the sampled foliage.

Foliage leaf punch samples were collected randomly from dry viable leaves from each subplot and control plot using a one inch diameter Birkestrand® leaf punch sampler. One control sample from the control plot and three replicate samples from the treated plot were collected at both study sites at the following sampling intervals: prior to each application, immediately after each application after the spray dried (day 0), and 1, 2, 3, 7, 14,21, 28, and 35 days after the second (final) application. The California site experienced an insect infestation after the 28th day, leaving no viable leaves to be sampled on the 35th day.

For the Georgia and California sites, on day 0 the actual DFR data are higher than the predicted DFR data. Since using the predicted values for day 0 would underestimate exposure, the actual values are also reported for day 0 for this site. Results from the regression analysis are presented in Table 9 of this report.

	Table 9. Cucumber Foliar Dissipation for Oxamyl (MRID 446869-02)														
	DFR (	(μg/cm²) Pr	edicted Val	lues-Based	_	nsformed Dat d Averages)	a (Values ii	n Parentheses	are Actua	l Field					
Site	0 DAT	1 DAT	2 DAT	3 DAT	4 DAT	5 DAT	6 DAT	7 DAT	R <sup>2</sup>	Slope (t <sub>½</sub> days) <sup>a</sup>					
Georgia	1 (4.1)	0.6 (0.87)	0.36	0.22	0.13	0.079	0.048	0.029	0.81	-0.508 (1.36)					
California	3.2 (3.9)	2.4 (3.4)	1.8 (2.8)	1.4	1.03	0.78	0.59	0.44	0.94	-0.282 (2.46)					

a t<sub>1/2</sub> is the calculated half-life of oxamyl at the Georgia site are from 0 DAT to 14 DAT and the half-life of oxamyl at the California site are from 0 DAT to 28 DAT.

Tomato DFR Study - MRID 447048-01: The study: "Dissipation of Dislodgeable Foliar and Soil Residues of Oxamyl Following Application of Vydate® L Insecticide to Tomatoes in the USA- Season 1997 and 1998." (MRID 447048-01) was submitted by the registrant and reviewed by HED. There were two sites in the study, one in California and one in Florida. Two applications of Vydate®L were applied 5 days apart to test fields. For the California site, the applications were made beginning in mid July 1997 and for the Florida site, the applications were made in mid November 1997. Vydate®L was applied twice at each site using a broadcast boom sprayer at an application rate of 1.0 lb ai per acre in 50 gallons per acre of final volume. The data from leaf punches after the second treatment were used to characterize concentration of oxamyl on the treated crop and the rate of dissipation. Numerous rainfall events occurred during the study at the Florida site. During the study from Nov. 13, 1997 - Dec. 23, 1997, 14.40 inches of precipitation fell at the Florida site, while the 10-year average precipitation amounts were 2.36 inches in Nov. and 2.82 inches in December. The first rainfall after the last application at the Florida site occurred on Day 16. Only one rain event occurred at the California site - 0.05 inches on Day 2 after the last application.

The average foliar residues immediately after the second (last) application were 2.03  $\mu g/cm^2$  at the Florida site and 3.83  $\mu g/cm^2$  at the California site. After 14 days, the residues declined to 0.005  $\mu g/cm^2$  at the Florida site and 0.065  $\mu g/cm^2$  at the California site. At the Florida site, the DFR residues were less than the limit of quantitation (LOQ) of 0.001  $\mu g/cm^2$  after Day 14; therefore, data on Day 21, 28, and 35 were removed from regression analysis for this site. Results from the regression analysis are presented in Table 10 of this report.

	Table	e 10. To	mato Fo	oliar Dis	sipation f	or Oxamy	l (MRII	447048-0	1)	
	DFR	(μg/cm <sup>2</sup> ) Ι	Predicted V	alues – Bas	_	ansformed Da d Averages)	ta (Values i	n Parentheses	are Actua	l Field
Site	0 DAT	1 DAT	2 DAT	3 DAT	4 DAT	5 DAT	6 DAT	7 DAT	R <sup>2</sup>	Slope (t <sub>1/2</sub> days) <sup>a</sup>
Florida	1.85	1.21	0.79	0.51	0.34	0.22	0.14	0.094	0.99	-0.425 (1.62)
California (foliar)	0.61 (3.83)	0.54 (1.29)	0.48	0.43	0.38	0.34	0.30	0.27	0.24	-0.119 (5.84)

t<sub>1/4</sub> is the calculated half-life of oxamyl at the Florida site are from 0 DAT to 14 DAT and the half-life of Oxamyl at the California site are from 0 DAT to 35 DAT.

Citrus DFR Study - MRID 446869-01: The study: "Dissipation of Dislodgeable Foliar Residues of Oxamyl from Citrus Following Application of Vydate® L Insecticide in the U.S.A -Season 1997" (MRID 446869-01) was submitted by the registrant and review by HED. The study was done at two sites, one in Florida and one in California. The treated plots at each site received two applications of Vydate® L insecticide using airblast sprayer applications of the test substance. At the California site, each application was 1.0 pounds of active ingredient per acre in 100 gallons of finished spray per acre. The data from leaf punches after the second treatment were used to characterize concentration of oxamyl on the treated crop and the rate of dissipation. A protocol deviation occurred when for the first application in Florida; Vydate®L was sprayed 1.25 lb ai/acre due to an increase in spray pressure from 60 to 100 PSI in 147 gallons per acre. Insertion of a pressure regulator for the second application brought the application rate down to 1.0 lb ai/acre in 101 gallons of finished spray per acre. The sprayers were calibrated prior to all applications by the volume/time method. In California, irrigation occurred four times with a microsprinkler irrigation that did not wet the foliage sampled. The California site had 1.3 inches of rainfall during the course of the study – the ten year average is 1.46 inches. In Florida, the rainfall per day ranged from 0.2 to 2.6 inches. The total rainfall during the sampling period was 13.7 inches – the ten year average is 20 inches.

For the Florida and California sites, on day 0 the actual DFR data are higher than the predicted DFR data. Since using the predicted values for day 0 would underestimate exposure, the actual values are also reported for day 0 for this site. Results from the regression analysis are presented in Table 11 of this report.

	Table 1	11. Cit	rus Folia	ar Dissi	pation fo	r Oxamy	ıl (MRI	D 44686	9-01)	
	DFR (	μg/cm²) P	redicted Va			Transformed red Average		ues in Paren	theses are	Actual
Site	.0 DAT	1 DAT	2 DAT	3 DAT	4 DAT	5 DAT	6 DAT	7 DAT	R <sup>2</sup>	Slope (t <sub>1/2</sub> days) <sup>a</sup>
Florida	0.639 (2.14)	0.505 (1.46)	0.40 (0.477)	0.316	0.250	0.198	0.156	0.124	0.858	-0.235 (2.95)
California	0.738 (2.05)	0.683	0.632	0.585	0.541	0.501	0.463	0.429	0.76	0.078 (8.92)

t<sub>4</sub> is the calculated half-life of oxamyl at the Florida and California site are from 0 DAT to 35 DAT.

#### Use of the Oxamyl DFR Study Data

The citrus DFR study data was used to assess exposure to oxamyl-treated foliage for citrus and also was used to assess exposure to foliage from the other tree crops (pears, apples, bananas, plantains, and non-bearing trees). The cucumber DFR study data was used to assess exposure to oxamyl-treated foliage for the cucurbit crops. And the tomato DFR study data were used to assess exposure to oxamyl-treated foliage for tomatoes and other fruiting vegetables (peppers and eggplant). For all the remaining crops, both the tomato residue data (MRID 44704801) *and* the cucumber residue data (MRID 44686902) were used to assess exposure to oxamyl-treated foliage. For all crops where both tomato and cucumber residue data are presented, the results for the California sites were averaged and the results from the Florida and Georgia sites were averaged. The DFR values from the three submitted studies were adjusted proportionately to reflect remaining crops application rates. The new DFR values are calculated as follows:

Adjusted DFR (
$$\mu$$
g/ $cm^2$ ) =  $\frac{Study\ DFR\ (\mu$ g/ $cm^2$ ) x crop application rate ( $lbsai/A$ ) study application rate ( $lbsai/A$ )

## 4.2.2 Summary of Risk Concerns for Postapplication Workers

See Tables 12 and 13 for a summary of the postapplication results. The resulting postapplication assessments indicate that the MOEs equal or exceed <u>100</u> on the day specified for the following crops, according to the DFR study site and tasks that represent the highest transfer coefficient. The postapplication risk assessment indicates that for many crops, risks remain a concern for several days following application.

Table 12:	Oxamyl	Postapplication Risks	for Tree (	Crops		
Crop	Appl. Rate <sup>a</sup> (lbs. ai/ acre)	Transfer Coefficient <sup>b</sup> (cm <sup>2</sup> /hr) and Activity	DFR Data Source <sup>c</sup>	Dose at Day 0 <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	MOE at Day 0°	Day After Treatment When MOE ≥100 <sup>f</sup>
Banana/ Plantain	3.6	2000 Training, Hand Pruning, Thinning, Topping, Hand	Florida	1.80	10	5
		Weeding	California	1.70	10	17
		3000	Florida	1.50	12	5
Apples	2	Hand Harvesting, Thinning, Propping, Training, Hand Pruning	California	1.40	12	14

Table 12: (	Oxamyl	Postapplication Risks	for Tree (	Crops		
Crop	Appl. Rate <sup>a</sup> (lbs. ai/ acre)	Transfer Coefficient <sup>b</sup> (cm²/hr) and Activity	DFR Data Source <sup>c</sup>	Dose at Day 0 <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	MOE at Day 0 <sup>e</sup>	Day After Treatment When MOE ≥100 <sup>f</sup>
Citrus	2	3000	Florida	1.50	12	5
Citrus	_	Hand Harvesting, Hand Pruning, Thinning	California	1.40	12	14
Pears	2	3000	Florida	1.50	12	5
(U.S., except CA)	2	Hand Harvesting, Thinning, Tying, Hand Pruning, Training	California	1.40	12	14
Nonbearing 1		400	Florida	0.098	170	0 (12 hours)
Fruit Trees		All Tasks	California	0.094	180	0 (12 hours)

a. Application rates are the maximum application rates determined from EPA registered labels for oxamyl.

Citrus CA: MRID 44686901: Slope = -0.078, Day 0 (actual) = 2.05, Study Application Rate = 1

Citrus FL: MRID 44686901: Slope = -0.235, Day 0 (actual) = 2.14, Study Application Rate = 1

- e. MOE = NOAEL/Daily Dose (Adult Dermal NOAEL = 17.05 mg/kg/day). Target MOE = 100
- f. Day After Treatment When MOE ≥100. Values in **bold** indicate that the calculated REI is greater than 48 hrs.

Table 13:	Oxamyl	Postapplication	on Risks for Veg	getable and No	n-tree F	ruit Crops	,
Crop	Appl. Rate <sup>a</sup> (lbs. ai/ acre)	Transfer Coefficient <sup>b</sup> (cm²/hr) And Activity	DFR Data Source <sup>c</sup>	Dose at Day 0 <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	MOE at Day 0 <sup>e</sup>	Day After Treatment When MOE ≥100 <sup>f</sup>	Average Day after Treatment when MOE ≥100
			Cucumber GA	2.3	7	3	4
Celery	2	2500	Tomato FL	1.1	16	5	1 4
(FL, PA, OH, MI, TX)	2	Hand harvesting	Cucumber CA	2.2	8	9	8
			Tomato CA	2.0	9	7	•

b. Transfer Coefficients are from Science Advisory Council on Exposure Policy 3.1. Risks were only assessed for the highest transfer coefficient available for a crop.

c. The results from the analysis using actual DFR values for Day 0. The actual DFR values were used instead of the predicted DFRs when the actual DFR values were higher than the predicted DFR values.

<u>DFR Data sources:</u>

d. Daily Dose = [DFR ( $\mu$ g/cm<sup>2</sup>) x Transfer Coefficient (cm<sup>2</sup>/hr) x 0.001 mg/ $\mu$ g x 8 hrs/day] ÷ body weight (70 kg adult)

Table 13:	Oxamyl	Postapplication	on Risks for Veg	getable and No	n-tree F	ruit Crops		
Crop	Appl. Rate <sup>a</sup> (lbs. ai/ acre)	Transfer Coefficient <sup>b</sup> (cm <sup>2</sup> /hr) And Activity	DFR Data Source <sup>c</sup>	Dose at Day 0 <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	MOE at Day 0 <sup>e</sup>	Day After Treatment When MOE ≥100 <sup>f</sup>	Average Day after Treatment when MOE ≥100	
			Cucumber GA	0.59	29	1	2	
Celery	0.5	2500	Tomato FL	0.26	65	2	2	
(AZ, CA)	0.5	Hand harvesting	Cucumber CA	0.55	31	4	3	
			Tomato CA	0.5	34	2		
			Cucumber GA	1.4	12	2	3	
Peppermint and Spearmint	2 .	1500  Irrigation; scouting; hand weeding	Tomato FL	0.63	27	4	,	
(ID, MI, MT, OR, WA, WI)	Σ.		Cucumber CA	1.3	13	7	5	
	٠		Tomato CA	1.2	14	2	,	
		1000  Hand harvesting;	Cucumber GA	0.94	18	2	2	
Dinganalas	2		Tomato FL	0.21	81	1	2	
Pineapples	2	hand pruning	Cucumber CA	0.88	19	6	4	
			Tomato CA	0.81	21	2	4	
		300	Cucumber GA	0.28	60	1	1	
Garlic	2	Irrigation;	Tomato FL	0.13	130	0 (12 hours)		
(OR, CA)	2	scouting; thinning; hand	Cucumber CA	0.28	60	3	2	
		weeding	Tomato CA	0.24	70	1	2	
		300	Cucumber GA	0.14	120	0 (12 hours)	0	
Garlic	1	Irrigation;	Tomato FL	0.06	270	0 (12 hours)	(12 hours)	
(SLN NY, SLN WA)	1	scouting; thinning; hand	Cucumber CA	0.13	130	0 (12 hours)	0	
		weeding	Tomato CA	0.12	140	0 (12 hours)	(12 hours)	

Table 13:	Oxamyl	Postapplication	on Risks for Veg	getable and No	n-tree F	ruit Crops			
Crop	Appl. Rate <sup>a</sup> (lbs. ai/ acre)	Transfer Coefficient <sup>b</sup> (cm <sup>2</sup> /hr) And Activity	DFR Data Source <sup>c</sup>	Dose at Day 0 <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	MOE at Day 0 <sup>e</sup>	Day After Treatment When MOE ≥100 <sup>f</sup>	Average Day after Treatment when MOE ≥100		
		300	Cucumber GA	0.28	60	1	1		
Onions, Dry Bulb	2	Irrigation;	Tomato FL	0.13	130	0 (12 hours)	1		
(OR, ID, WA, CA, MI, TX	2	scouting; thinning; hand	Cucumber CA	0.28	60	3	2		
		weeding	Tomato CA	0.24	70	1	2		
Oniona Day		300	Cucumber GA	0.14	120	0 (12 hours)	0		
Onions, Dry Bulb (SLN CO,		Irrigation; scouting; thinning; hand	Tomato FL	0.06	270	0 (12 hours)	(12 hours)		
SLN NV, SLN NY,	ł		Cucumber CA	0.13	130	0 (12 hours)	0		
SLN UT)		weeding	Tomato CA	0.12	140	0 (12 hours)	(12 hours)		
		300	Cucumber GA	0.07	240	0 (12 hours)	0 (12		
Onions, Dry Bulb		Irrigation;	Tomato FL	0.03	540	0 (12 hours)	hours)		
(NM, SLN WI)	0.5	scouting; thinning; hand weeding	Cucumber CA	0.07	260	0 (12 hours)	0 (12		
			Tomato CA	0.06	280	0 (12 hours)	hours)		
	<u> </u>		Cucumber GA	0.7	24	1			
Clover Grown		1500	Tomato FL	0.32	54	2	2		
for Seed (SLN CA)	1.0	Irrigation; scouting;	Cucumber CA	0.66	26	5			
					Tomato CA	0.61	28	2	4
	· .	,	Cucumber GA	1.20	15	2	_		
		2500 1 Hand harvesting;	Tomato FL	0.53	32	3	3		
Carrot	1		Cucumber CA	1.10	15	6			
			Tomato CA	1.00	17	2	4		

Crop	Appl. Rate <sup>a</sup> (lbs. ai/ acre)	Transfer Coefficient <sup>b</sup> (cm <sup>2</sup> /hr) And Activity	DFR Data Source <sup>c</sup>	Dose at Day 0 <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	MOE at Day 0 <sup>e</sup>	Day After Treatment When MOE ≥100 <sup>f</sup>	Average Day after Treatment when MOE ≥100	
		2500	Cucumber GA	1.20	15	2	2	
Cucurbits	1	Hand harvesting; hand pruning, thinning	Cucumber CA	1.10	15	6	6	
			Cucumber GA	1.20	15	2	2	
Cotton	1	2500	Tomato FL	0.53	32	3	3	
(AZ and CA)	1	Hand harvesting;	Cucumber CA	1.10	15	6	4	
		Tomato CA	1.00	17	2	4		
		2500	Cucumber GA	0.59	29	1	2	
Cotton	0.5		Tomato FL	0.26	65	2	2	
(U.S. except AZ and CA);	0.5	Hand harvesting	Cucumber CA	0.55	31	4	2	
			Tomato CA	0.50	34	2	3	
			Cucumber GA	1.4	12	2	3	
Potatoes	2	1500	Tomato FL	0.63	27	4	3	
(SLN MD, SLN NY)	2	Irrigation; scouting	Cucumber CA	1.3	13	7	_	
		<u>.</u>	Tomato CA	1.2	14	2	5	
Sugar Beet			Cucumber GA	0.7	24	1	2	
(Entire U.S.);	1	1500	Tomato FL	0.32	5,4	2	2	
Potatoes (also SLN	1	Irrigation; scouting	Cucumber ÇA	0.66	26	5	4	
PA)			Tomato CA	0.61	28	2	4	

Crop	Appl. Rate <sup>a</sup> (lbs. ai/ acre)	Transfer Coefficient <sup>b</sup> (cm <sup>2</sup> /hr) And Activity	DFR Data Source <sup>c</sup>	Dose at Day 0 <sup>d</sup> (mg/kg/day)	MOE at Day 0 <sup>e</sup>	Day After Treatment When MOE ≥100 <sup>f</sup>	Average Day after Treatment when MOE ≥100		
Eggplant; Tomatoes;	1	Hand harvesting; hand pruning (eggplant and tomato); staking; tying, thinning (tomato); training (tomato)	Tomato FL	0.21	81	1	1		
Peppers	1		Tomato CA	0.4	42	1	1		
		300	Cucumber GA	0.14	120	0 (12 hours)	0 (12		
Ginger Root	1	Irrigation;	Tomato FL	0.06	270	0 (12 hours)	hours)		
(HI)	1	1	1	scouting; thinning; hand	Cucumber CA	0.13	130	0 (12 hours)	0 (12
		weeding	Tomato CA	0.12	140	0 (12 hours)	hours)		
	-		Cucumber GA	0.35	48	1	1		
Peanuts (U.S.	nuts (U.S.	1500	Tomato FL	0.16	110	0 (12 hours)	1		
except CA)	0.5	Irrigation; scouting	Cucumber CA	0.33	52	3	2		
			Tomato CA	0.3	56	1	2		

a. Application rates are the maximum application rates determined from EPA registered labels for oxamyl.

Cucumber CA: MRID 44686902: Study Application Rate = 1, Slope = -0.282, Day 0 (predicted) =  $3.2 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 0 (actual) =  $3.86 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 1 (actual) =  $3.42 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 2 (actual) =  $2.83 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 3 (predicted) =  $1.37 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 4 (predicted) =  $1.03 \mu g/cm^2$ ), Day 5 (predicted) =  $0.778 \mu g/cm^2$ .

Tomato CA: MRID 44704801: Study Application Rate = 1, Slope = -0.119, Day 0 (predicted) =  $0.61 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 0 (actual) =  $3.83 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 1 (actual) =  $1.29 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 2 (predicted) =  $0.48 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 3 (predicted) =  $0.43 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 4 (predicted) =  $0.38 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 5 (predicted) =  $0.34 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 6 (predicted) =  $0.30 \mu g/cm^2$ 

Cucumber GA: MRID 44686902

Study Application Rate = 1 lb ai/A, Slope (predicted) = -0.508, Day 0 (predicted) = 1, Day 0 (actual) =  $4.11 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 1 (actual) =  $0.869 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 2 (predicted) =  $0.36 \mu g/cm^2$ , Day 3 (predicted) =  $0.21 \mu g/cm^2$ .

Tomato FL: MRID 44704801: Study Application Rate = 1, Slope = -0.425, Day 0 (predicted) = 1.85

- d. Daily Dose = [DFR (μg/cm²) x Transfer Coefficient (cm²/hr) x 0.001 mg/μg x 8 hrs/day] ÷ body weight (70 kg adult)
- e. MOE = NOAEL/Daily Dose (Adult Dermal NOAEL = 17.05 mg/kg/day). Target MOE = 100
- f. Day After Treatment When MOE ≥100. Values in **bold** indicate that the calculated REI is greater than 48 hrs.

b. Transfer Coefficients are from Science Advisory Council on Exposure Policy 3.1. Risks were only assessed for the highest transfer coefficient available for a crop.

c. The results from the analysis using actual DFR values for Day 0 for cucumber GA, cucumber CA, and tomato CA. The actual DFR values were used instead of the predicted DFRs when the actual DFR values were significantly higher than the predicted DFR values. An analysis using actual DFR values was not necessary for the tomato data from Florida. DFR Data sources:

#### 5.0 RESIDENTIAL (NON-OCCUPATIONAL) EXPOSURE/RISK PATHWAY

This document presents the assessment of proposed agricultural uses of oxamyl. No residential uses are being requested at this time; therefore, no residential handler exposure and risk assessment has been conducted in this document.

Spray drift is always a potential source of exposure to residents nearby to spraying operations. This is particularly the case with aerial application, but, to a lesser extent, could also be a potential source of exposure from the airblast and groundboom application method additionally employed for oxamyl. The Agency has been working with the Spray Drift Task Force, EPA Regional Offices and State Lead Agencies for pesticide regulation and other parties to develop the best spray drift management practices. The Agency is now requiring interim mitigation measures for aerial applications that must be placed on product labels/labeling. The Agency has completed its evaluation of the new database submitted by the Spray Drift Task Force, a membership of U.S. pesticide registrants, and is developing a policy on how to appropriately apply the data and the AgDRIFT computer model to its risk assessments for pesticides applied by air, orchard airblast and ground hydraulic methods. After the policy is in place, the Agency may impose further refinements in spray drift management practices to reduce off-target drift and risks associated with aerial as well as other application types where appropriate.



# R170435

Chemical Name: Oxamyl

PC Code: 103801

**HED File Code: 12000 Exposure Reviews** 

Memo Date: 5/27/2009
File ID: 00000000
Accession #: 000-00-0127

HED Records Reference Center

6/3/2009